



U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
ULLB, 3rd Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20536

File: [REDACTED] Office: Nebraska Service Center

Date: JAN 11 2002

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]  
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

Petition: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to § 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(3)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

**PUBLIC COPY**

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,  
EXAMINATIONS

*Kelen E. Crawford for*  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a bakery. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a specialty baker. As required by statute, the petition is accompanied by an individual labor certification approved by the Department of Labor. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that it had the financial ability to pay the beneficiary the proffered wage as of the filing date of the visa petition.

On appeal, counsel submits a brief and additional evidence.

Section 203(b)(3)(A)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(3)(A)(i), provides for the granting of preference classification to qualified immigrants who are capable, at the time of petitioning for classification under this paragraph, of performing skilled labor (requiring at least two years training or experience), not of a temporary or seasonal nature, for which qualified workers are not available in the United States.

8 C.F.R. 204.5(g)(2) states in pertinent part:

*Ability of prospective employer to pay wage.* Any petition filed by or for an employment-based immigrant which requires an offer of employment must be accompanied by evidence that the prospective United States employer has the ability to pay the proffered wage. The petitioner must demonstrate this ability at the time the priority date is established and continuing until the beneficiary obtains lawful permanent residence. Evidence of this ability shall be either in the form of copies of annual reports, federal tax returns, or audited financial statements.

Eligibility in this matter hinges on the petitioner's ability to pay the wage offered as of the petition's filing date, which is the date the request for labor certification was accepted for processing by any office within the employment system of the Department of Labor. Matter of Wing's Tea House, 16 I&N Dec. 158 (Act. Reg. Comm. 1977). Here, the petition's filing date is January 5, 1998. The beneficiary's salary as stated on the labor certification is \$14.00 per hour or \$29,120.00 per annum.

Counsel submitted a copy of a 1997 Form 1120S U.S. Income Tax Return for an S Corporation for a Montrose Bakery, employer

identification number 36-3861864. The federal tax return reflected gross receipts of \$389,157; gross profit of \$78,823; compensation of officers of \$9,600; salaries and wages paid of \$0; depreciation of \$4,534; and an ordinary income (loss) from trade or business activities of \$13,065. Schedule L reflected total current assets of \$11,000 with \$4,200 in cash and total current liabilities of \$0.

Counsel also submitted a copy of the petitioner's 1998 Form 1120 U.S. Corporation Income Tax return, employer identification number 36-4223764, which reflected gross receipts of \$105,098; gross profit of \$39,628; compensation of officers of \$0; salaries and wages paid of \$0; depreciation of \$3,000; and a taxable income before net operating loss deduction and special deductions of \$2,010. Schedule L reflected total current assets of \$6,300 with \$1,200 in cash and total current liabilities of \$0.

The director determined that the additional evidence did not establish that the petitioner had the ability to pay the proffered wage and denied the petition accordingly.

On appeal, counsel submits a copy of a 1998 Form 1120S U.S. Income Tax Return for an S Corporation for a Smoker's Haven, Inc., employer identification number 36-4024030, a copy of a 1998 Form 1120S U.S. Income Tax Return for an S Corporation for Ultima Wholesale Candies & Sundries, employer identification number 36-3839188, a copy of a stock certificate, number 1, dated April 21, 1998, which shows that Khaled Hasan owns 1000 shares of Hasan Bakery, Inc., and a copy of the articles of incorporation of Hasan Bakery, Inc.

Counsel states that "per our representations made at the time of our appeal, we presently enclose a series of financial documentation supportive of the employer's financial standing enabling him to pay the stated remuneration."

No evidence has been submitted to establish a relationship between [REDACTED] Bakery, Inc., [REDACTED] Haven, Inc., or [REDACTED] Wholesale Candies & Sundries.

A review of the petitioner's 1998 tax return shows that when one adds the taxable income, the depreciation, and the cash on hand at year end (to the extent that total current assets exceed total current liabilities), the result is \$6,210, an amount less than the proffered wage.

No additional evidence has been received to date. Accordingly, after a review of the federal tax return and additional documentation furnished, it is concluded that the petitioner has

not established that it had sufficient available funds to pay the salary offered at the time of filing of the petition and continuing to present.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.